| Table 4: Survivor's Higher Tax Rate as a Single Is Insufficient to Rescue Bad Start | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age | Tax at Couple Rate: 15% Survivor: 28% Heirs: 28% | Tax at Conversion Rate (22%) | NPV to this Point | Discounted Tax Savings | Cumulative Tax Savings |
| 72 | | | -\$22,000 | | |
| 73 | \$566 | \$830 | -\$21,466 | -\$249.20 | -\$249 |
| | | | | | |
| 89 | \$1,312 | \$1,924 | -\$13,173 | -\$227.31 | -\$4,119 |
| 90 | \$2,544 | \$1,998 | -\$12,282 | \$190.95 | -\$3,928 |
| 91 | \$2,639 | \$2,074 | -\$11,410 | \$186.91 | -\$3,741 |
| 92 | \$2,734 | \$2,148 | -\$10,558 | \$182.70 | -\$3,558 |
| 93 | \$2,829 | \$2,222 | -\$9,725 | \$178.30 | -\$3,380 |
| 94 | \$2,890 | \$2,271 | -\$8,924 | \$171.85 | -\$3,208 |
| 95 | \$2,778 | \$2,183 | -\$8,196 | \$155.87 | -\$3,052 |
| 96 | \$2,945 | \$2,314 | -\$7,469 | \$155.87 | -\$2,897 |
| 97 | \$3,122 | \$2,453 | -\$6,741 | \$155.87 | -\$2,741 |
| 98 | \$3,309 | \$2,600 | -\$6,014 | \$155.87 | -\$2,585 |
| 99 | \$3,508 | \$2,756 | -\$5,287 | \$155.87 | -\$2,429 |
| 100 | \$3,718 | \$2,921 | -\$4,559 | \$155.87 | -\$2,273 |
| 101 | \$3,941 | \$3,097 | -\$3,832 | \$155.87 | -\$2,117 |
| 102 | \$4,178 | \$3,283 | -\$3,104 | \$155.87 | -\$1,961 |
| 103 | \$4,428 | \$3,479 | -\$2,377 | \$155.87 | -\$1,806 |
| 104 | \$4,694 | \$3,688 | -\$1,650 | \$155.87 | -\$1,650 |

Note: Omitted rows are the same values as Table 2. Yellow shading shows the surviving spouse. Red shading shows the heirs' situation. As can be seen from the bottom row, 15 years at distribution tax rate of +6% cannot overcome seventeen years at -7%, producing a negative NPV for this unfortunate conversion.