

**Table 3: Hedging Excess or Shortfall When Inflation Does Not Match Expectations**

Inflation at:	Two percent		Four percent		Five percent	
	2% Planned,	3% Planned,	4% Planned,	3% Planned,	5% Planned,	3% Planned,
	3% Realized	2% Realized	3% Realized	4% Realized	3% Realized	5% Realized
Amount Needed for the Ladder:	\$42,345	\$58,890	\$73,072	\$58,890	\$85,300	\$58,890
TIPS Balance at:						
94 (life expectancy)	\$6,711	\$67,176	\$88,239	\$28,397	\$120,685	<b>(\$762)</b>
95	<b>(\$1,556)</b>	\$64,706	\$84,048	\$17,313	\$118,116	
96		\$61,834	\$79,090	\$4,652	\$114,861	
97		\$58,539	\$73,308	<b>(\$9,716)</b>	\$110,868	
98		\$54,796	\$66,646		\$106,084	
99		\$50,582	\$59,040		\$100,450	
100		\$45,871	\$50,427		\$93,907	
101		\$40,637	\$40,735		\$86,389	
102		\$34,852	\$29,892		\$77,829	
103		\$28,488	\$17,821		\$68,155	
104		\$21,514	\$4,440		\$57,290	
105		\$13,898	<b>(\$10,339)</b>		\$45,154	
106		\$5,609			\$31,661	
107		<b>(\$3,389)</b>			\$16,720	
108					\$237	

Note: Table 1 showed that if 3% inflation was planned, \$58,890 would have to be placed in a TIPS ladder to hedge the SPIA to age 100. (The time course for the drawdown in the 3% planned, 3% realized case is shown in the third column of Table 2.) The paired columns in this table show the cost to plan for inflation that is lower or higher than 3%, along with the outcomes if inflation is lower (higher) than the 3% assumed in the base case. Not shown are the results if inflation comes in exactly as planned, whether 2%, 4%, or 5%, because in that case, the TIPS balance shown was calculated to be exhausted exactly at age 100. All scenarios assume a real yield on TIPS of 2.0%.